

Barbara C. McCurdy
Herbert H. Mintz
Leslie I. Bookoff
Kathleen A. Daley
Susanne T. Jones
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 408-4000
Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

Scott R. Mosko (106070)
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.
Stanford Research Park
700 Hansen Way
Palo Alto, California 94304
Telephone: (650) 849-6600
Facsimile: (650) 849-6666

Attorneys for Plaintiff
HOME DIAGNOSTICS, INC.

Dianne B. Elderkin
Joseph Lucci
Lynn A. Malinoski
Steven D. Maslowski
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP
One Liberty Place - 46th floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 568-3100
Facsimile: (215) 568-3429

David Eiseman (114758)_
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
201 Sansome Street
Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 986-5700
Facsimile: (415) 986-5707

Attorneys for Defendant
LIFESCAN, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

HOME DIAGNOSTICS, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

LIFESCAN, INC.,
a California corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C 01-20725 JW (PVT) (ADR)

**ORDER ENTERING
JUDGMENT**

1 WHEREAS, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation for Judgment.

2 Whereas, the parties stipulated that, based on the evidence of record and in view of the
3 Court's Order on Claim Construction of October 2, 2002, judgment should be entered declaring that
4 the asserted claims, Claims 4, 5, and 6, of United States Patent No. 6,268,162 (the "'162 patent") are
5 not infringed by the operation of any of HDI's Prestige, Prestige LX, Prestige Smart System, and
6 Prestige IQ blood glucose systems (the "accused Prestige meters").

7 WHEREAS, the parties agreed that this judgment is premised on LifeScan's stipulation that,
8 given the Court's claim construction, the operation of the accused Prestige meters does not satisfy
9 the following limitations of independent Claim 4 of the '162 patent:

- 10 a. "taking a sequence of reflectance readings from the testing surface of said matrix
11 at specified time intervals;" and
12 b. "upon detection of a suitably stable endpoint, calculating said glucose
13 concentration in said sample from one of said reflectance readings."

14 WHEREAS, the parties agree that, consistent with this stipulation, any and all infringement
15 claims that LifeScan has asserted in this action, including claims that HDI has directly and/or
16 indirectly infringed the '162 patent, and that such infringement has been willful, should be
17 dismissed, without prejudice to LifeScan's right to appeal the Court's claim construction.

18 WHEREAS, the parties agree that due to the significance of this judgment to the adjudication
19 of the entire action and because there is no just reason for delay, this judgment should be made final
20 under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b).

21 WHEREAS, the parties agree that all other claims should remain pending and this action
22 should be stayed and administratively terminated pending any decision by the Court of Appeals for
23 the Federal Circuit upon any appeal of judgment.

24 It is hereby ORDERED:

25 Pursuant to the Joint Stipulation for Judgment entered into by the parties, LifeScan's claims
26 are dismissed and judgment of noninfringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,162 is hereby entered
27 against LifeScan and for HDI. There being no just reason for delay, this judgment is final under
28

1 Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b). All other claims remain pending, and this action is stayed, pending any
2 decision by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upon any appeal of judgment.

3
4 Dated: _April 14, 2003

/s/ James Ware
The Honorable James Ware, Judge of the
United States District Court, Northern
District, San Jose Division